

# PROPOSED CONDITIONS OF CONSENT

## Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment

Version: 17 March 2021

Note: These updated conditions reflect and respond to comments from the Panel and submitters. The origin of the changes to each condition (if any) is noted in the right hand column of the table. This is intended to assist the Panel in understanding the basis for the changes, but is not intended to remain in the final condition set.

The Applicants note that in addition to substantive changes to a number of conditions, the structure of the conditions has generally been updated to:

- Incorporate the conditions for all three consents (Packages 2A, 2B and 2C) into one condition document.
- Create a new Part A: General Conditions, which applies to all three consents.
- Create a new Part B: Application 2A, which contains the specific conditions applicable to Package 2A.
- Create a new Part C: Application 2B, which contains the specific conditions applicable to Package 2B.
- Create a new Part D: Application 2C, which contains the specific conditions applicable to Package 2C.

'PA', 'PB', 'PC' and 'PD' are found in front of condition references throughout the condition set to ensure clarity as to what condition is being referenced:

- PA: Part A: General Conditions
- PB: Part B: Application 2A
- PC: Part C: Application 2B
- PD: Part D: Application 2C

Part A: General Conditions – These apply to all three consents		Origin of Change
1.1.	<p><b>Sequencing and Schedule of Construction Activities</b></p> <p>(a) Construction works shall be staged generally in accordance with the staging regime set out in the “Draft for Resource Consent Construction Methodology Report”, dated 18 September 2020.</p> <p>(b) At least 15 working days prior to the commencement of construction, the consent holder shall provide an updated staging regime to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council for Marlborough District Council records and to Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui Trust (“<b>Te Ātiawa</b>”) as kaitiaki of the Rohe.</p> <p>(c) At least 15 working days prior to the commencement of each stage of construction as set out in the staging regime referred to in condition PA1.1(b), the consent holder shall provide to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council, for Marlborough District Council records, and to Te Ātiawa, a detailed programme outlining the proposed construction activities for that stage, along with the name and contact phone number of the site supervisor responsible for the stage. The submitted programme must also specify the maximum anticipated time period for completion of construction activities for that construction stage.</p> <p>(d) Any changes to the staging regime referred to in condition PA1.1(b) or the programme referred to in condition PA1.1(c) above shall be provided to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council and Te Ātiawa at least three working days prior to the changes being implemented.</p> <p><i>Advice note: For the purposes of the consent conditions "construction" shall not apply to any enabling works including site survey and site set up.</i></p>	<p>Advice note added to provide clarity.</p>
1.2.	<p><b>Final Plans</b></p> <p>(a) At least 15 working days prior to the proposed date of commencement of each stage of construction, the consent holder shall provide final plans and elevations of all works including buildings, structures, retaining walls, <b>culverts</b>, access, roading, landscaping, services and associated permanent and temporary occupation of the coastal marine area, to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council, for <b>Marlborough District Council</b> records.</p> <p>(b) <b>As relevant to the stage of construction</b>, the consent holder shall also provide the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council with written certification:</p> <p>(i) From a suitably qualified landscape architect, that the detailed landscape design plans are in general accordance with the information and plans submitted with the application for resource consent;</p> <p>(ii) From a suitably experienced professional civil engineer that the detailed design of the new wharf and jetties is in general accordance with the information and plans</p>	<p>Marlborough District Council's (MDC) comment at paragraph [17(c)]: Addition of reference to retaining walls and culvert to be clear that these details must be provided to MDC.</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [52]: the advice notes requested by MDC have been accepted.</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [71]: The Applicants</p>

**Commented [BG1]:** Relocated, from Package 2A to General Conditions

**Commented [BG2]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>submitted with the application for resource consent; and</p> <p>(iii) From a suitably qualified architect, that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the detailed design of the terminal building is in general accordance with the information and plans submitted with the application for resource consent;</li> <li>cultural design elements have been incorporated into the detailed design of the Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct; and</li> <li>the final design of the breakwater includes modulation of the colour and/or texture of the facing concrete panels, and incorporates areas of timber capping (or similar timber detailing).</li> </ul> <p>(c) Where changes to any of the information and plans listed in <b>condition 1 of Parts B, C and D</b> are required for connections from the ferry terminal to external roads, such as Lagoon Road and Auckland Street, the final plans and elevations provided in <b>condition PA1.2(a)</b> shall be accompanied with evidence of consultation undertaken with Marlborough Roads, Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency and Marlborough District Council.</p> <p><i>Advice note: Any proposed works within the proximity of Council water, sewer and stormwater infrastructure shall be designed and certified by a chartered professional engineer to Council Code of Practice requirements.</i></p> <p><i>Advice note: Any alteration to the Waitohi culvert undertaken shall be designed and certified by a chartered engineer. The design and construction works shall be in accordance with the New Zealand and Council Code of Practices, Standards and Guidelines that apply to the alteration works. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this advice note shall impose any obligation on the consent holder to upgrade the capacity of the culvert.</i></p> <p><i>Advice Note: A Corridor Access Request (CAR) must be lodged with Council's Roading Authority prior to any works within road reserve, including the installation of services and vehicle entranceways.</i></p> <p><i>Advice Note: The proposal that is the subject of this permit/consent involves the installation of pipelines and/or infrastructure within the road reserve/public land. Before any work commences a licence to occupy this land must be obtained. Please contact APL Property, Blenheim, for further information.</i></p>	<p>consider the requested obligations to be unnecessary but have considered some acceptable in principle to be included as advice notes.</p> <p>In response to the Panel's second request for further information (RFI #2), further reference to the Waitohi Culvert have been added to Condition PA1.2(a) and as an advice note.</p>
1.3.	<p><b>Suitably Qualified Person</b></p> <p>The preparation of all plans and actions required by these conditions shall be undertaken by a Suitably Qualified Person.</p> <p><i>Note: a Suitably Qualified Person: a person (or persons) who can provide sufficient evidence to demonstrate their suitability and competence in the relevant field or expertise.</i></p>	<p>In response to the Panel's first request for further information (RFI #1), this condition has been included in relation to the Panel's observation that consent conditions relating to a management plan should specify how the</p>

		plan is to be prepared and who should be involved in that process (see RFI#1 at paragraph [32]).
1.4.	<p><b>Provision of Information Post Construction</b></p> <p>Within 12 months of completion of the structures and works listed below, the consent holder shall provide the following information to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council, for Marlborough District Council records (relevant consent references set out in brackets below):</p> <p>(a) For all structures constructed pursuant to this consent in the coastal marine area, an as-built plan with sufficient GPS information to demonstrate the structure's compliance with the consented envelope (2A);</p> <p>(b) For reclamations constructed pursuant to this consent an as-built plan or survey sufficient to meet the requirements of section 245 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (2A);</p> <p>(c) A bathymetric survey of the area dredged pursuant to this consent (2A); and</p> <p>(d) Upon completion the applicant must provide as built plans and Ramm data for any infrastructure being completed within Council road reserve, or any asset that will be vested to Council upon completion of the project.</p> <p><i>Advice Note: Upon completion of the construction programme for the terminal building, the Council shall be provided with an as built plan showing location and depth of water, sewer and stormwater infrastructure including coordinates of any surface features in terms of NZTM.</i></p>	<p>MDC comment at paragraph [50]: the proposed condition has been accepted (see Condition PA1.4(d)).</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [71]: the recommended obligation to provide MDC an as built plan showing location and depth of water, sewer and stormwater infrastructure has been included as an advice note.</p>
1.5.	<p><b>Fish passage within the Waitohi Awa and Waitohi Culvert</b></p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall ensure that, during works in the Waitohi Culvert, the conditions for fish passage provided by the current culvert configuration are maintained.</p> <p>(b) The consent holder shall ensure that, during works in the Waitohi Awa, the flow of water past the works is sufficient to maintain fish passage. This condition shall be implemented in the Erosion Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Plan (ESCSMP) at condition PA2.9.</p> <p>(c) Any upgrades to the Waitohi Culvert which affect internal aspects of the culvert shall be designed and installed in accordance with the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand Fish Passage Guidelines April 2018 unless otherwise agreed with the Compliance Manager.</p> <p>(d) Any upgrades to the Waitohi Culvert shall be designed and installed such that the flow path width is not less than the existing flow path width.</p>	<p>As noted in RFI #1 at paragraph [148], the Atmospheric Research New Zealand Fish Passage Guidelines April 2018 has been referred to at Condition PA1.5(c).</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [17(b) and (d)]: the proposed recommendations have been incorporated into Condition PA1.5(d).</p>
1.6.	<b>Māori Cultural Monitoring</b>	Amendment to this condition to

**Commented [BG3]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

**Commented [BG4]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.9 of the Construction Management Plan (CMP) at condition PA2.5:</p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall ask Te Ātiawa to identify an appropriate cultural monitor. The identified monitor shall be engaged by the consent holder to monitor excavation and dredging works and to assist in identifying any Māori cultural material / sites and archaeological material which might be discovered.</p>	<p>ensure it cross-references the management plans that will give effect to the condition as per RFI #1 at paragraph [21].</p>
1.7.	<p><b>Accidental Discovery Protocol</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.9 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p> <p>If any artefact and/or any historical, cultural or archaeological material of Māori origin, or likely to have significance to Māori, is found or uncovered during the undertaking of this work, then:</p> <p>(a) Work in that area shall cease immediately, the area secured and any uncovered material shall remain untouched;</p> <p>(b) Advice of the discovery must be given as soon as possible within 24 hours to:</p> <p>(i) Te Ātiawa Trust's Kaitiaki o te Taiao Office: Landline: 03 573 5170 Email: taiao@teatiawatrust.co.nz To enable appropriate cultural procedures / tikanga to be administered; and</p> <p>(ii) Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Landline: 04 472 4341</p> <p>(c) Work shall not recommence in that area unless it is undertaken in accordance with an Archaeological Authority that has been issued by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga.</p> <p><b>Note</b></p> <p><i>The people of Te Ātiawa hold mana whenua and have a deep historic association with this area of historic occupation.</i></p> <p><i>All archaeological sites are protected under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. It is an offence under the Act to modify, damage or destroy any archaeological site, whether the site is recorded or not. Application must be made to Heritage New Zealand for an Authority to modify, damage or destroy an archaeological site.</i></p>	<p>Amendment to this condition to ensure it cross-references the management plans that will give effect to the condition as per RFI #1 at paragraph [21].</p> <p>This condition was included at the request of Te Ātiawa. The Applicants note that accidental discovery will be managed primarily via the archaeological authority being sought from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (see page 3 of the Applicants response to comments received submitted 5/03/21).</p>
1.8.	<p><b>Construction Traffic</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.1 of the CMP at condition PA2.5 and the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) at condition PA2.6:</p> <p>(a) Construction traffic on Lagoon Road and Auckland Street will be avoided where practical to minimise the impact on public and port operations.</p> <p>(b) The implementation of appropriate traffic management measures to manage peak hour capacity on the road network for schools.</p>	<p>This condition has been amended to incorporate additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p>

	<p>(c) If operations are anticipated that will or may impact on port operations or port traffic (particularly on Lagoon Road), the consent holder shall undertake consultation with the impacted stakeholders to minimise the operational impacts to the greatest extent practical.</p> <p>(d) The implementation of appropriate traffic management measures to minimise the impact of construction traffic on the ferry terminal, railway station, Edwin Fox Museum, Waitohi Picton Aquarium, Marlborough District Council carpark, Destination Marlborough's I-Site, rental car companies and other local businesses and residents near the harbour precinct, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) consultation with stakeholders;</li> <li>(ii) notification to stakeholders;</li> <li>(iii) temporary signage; and</li> <li>(iv) works and barriers.</li> </ul> <p>(e) Construction vehicles, while working on this project, shall not park within Council legal road without the prior written permission of Marlborough Roads.</p> <p>(f) There shall be no storage of plant, equipment or material for the project in legal road without the prior written permission of Marlborough Roads. This excludes Dublin Street, when closed for bridge construction and, Lagoon Road and Auckland Street within port boundary if agreed with Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited.</p> <p>(g) At all times ensure that the construction works will not impede access to the existing Dublin Street Pump Station. In the event that Dublin Street is closed during construction works, an alternate access must be provided to the Dublin Street Pump Station.</p>	<p>MDC comment at paragraph [25]: the proposed conditions have been accepted and included as Condition PA1.8(e) and (f).</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [27]: the proposed condition relating to construction effects around Picton School is not considered necessary or appropriate. However, to address MDC's concerns, a more general condition has been provided at Condition PA1.8(b). For more detail, please see the Applicants' response to the Panel's fourth request for further information (RFI #4), which includes detail as to why submitters' suggested conditions were not accepted.</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [70]: the suggested condition relating to the Dublin Pump Station has been included at Condition PA1.8(g).</p>
1.9.	<p><b>Roading Management Plan - Road Maintenance</b></p> <p>At least 15 working days prior to construction commencing, the consent holder shall supply the Marlborough District Council with a Roading Management Plan for local roads affected by construction traffic. The purpose of the Roading Management Plan is to monitor any damage caused by construction traffic directly related to this project and require any such damage to be remediated.</p>	<p>The management plan does not require certification, therefore there are no other links in the rest of the</p>

**Commented [BG5]:** Relocated, from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>The Roding Management Plan will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Identification of roads likely to be affected by project activities, in consultation with Marlborough Roads, where any predicted effects are considered by the consent holder to be directly attributable to project delivery;</li> <li>(b) An assessment of pre-project road condition for the roads identified in condition PA1.9(a);</li> <li>(c) A proposed schedule for regular inspection, assessment and reporting of the condition of roads identified in condition PA1.9(a);</li> <li>(d) Processes for regular clean-up of construction-related spillage and maintenance of clean roads directly attributable to effects generated during project delivery;</li> <li>(e) Provision for road maintenance which is directly attributable to effects generated by delivery of the project;</li> <li>(f) Provision for remediation of road damage directly attributable to effects generated by delivery of the project, at the conclusion of project delivery; and</li> <li>(g) The consent holder's process for contractor selection (for any remedial works required under condition PA1.9(f)).</li> </ul>	condition set to this condition.
1.10.	<p><b>Waitohi Reserve</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Waitohi Reserve will not be used for the stockpiling of contaminated material. This condition shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.6 of the CMP at condition PA2.5.</li> <li>(b) Within the next growing season after the completion of construction works, the consent holder shall reinstate any grassed areas in the Waitohi Reserve which were disturbed by the installation and use of the project construction laydown areas, as depicted in the WSP Plan C-1032 Rev. F and continue to monitor and maintain the grass and retain the silt fencing to manage sediment runoff for two growing seasons after the completion of the construction works, or earlier if agreed by both Marlborough District Council and the consent holder.</li> <li>(c) The landscaping for the Waitohi Reserve as depicted on the Wraight and Associates Ltd Plan (entitled Waitohi Reserve Plan reference RC1-102, dated 23 October 2020) shall be completed within the growing season following the cessation of use of the Reserve for the project's purposes.</li> <li>(d) The selection of plants shall be submitted to Marlborough District Council for certification against the Waitohi Reserve Plan referenced in condition PA1.10(c) prior to the commencement of planting.</li> </ul>	<p>MDC comment at [63]: MDC wanted to include the addition of management requirements for the site for the period post completion of construction works. This has been addressed in Condition PA1.10(b).</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [67]: MDC's concern that the selection of planting at Waitohi Reserve needs to be compatible with ongoing management of the reserve has been addressed at Condition PA1.10(d).</p>
1.11.	<p><b>Earthworks Management</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.4 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Records: The consent holder shall maintain a complete record of earthworks undertaken on project site identified on</li> </ul>	<p>This condition has been amended to incorporate additional standards and</p>

**Commented [BG6]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

**Commented [BG7]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>the WSP plan C-1801 Rev. G. This should include but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Location of earthworks;</li> <li>(ii) Type and volume of material excavated;</li> <li>(iii) Location of temporary stockpiles (if stockpiled);</li> <li>(iv) Record of sampling undertaken and laboratory results;</li> <li>(v) Record of any fill or contaminated material that has been retained on site;</li> <li>(vi) Final disposal locations of materials removed from site, with supporting haulage docket and disposal certificates; and</li> <li>(vii) Any further validation sampling and testing of soils remaining on site (if required).</li> </ul> <p>(b) Stockpiles from earthworks: The consent holder shall implement the following protocols for on-site temporary stockpiling of soil/spoil as appropriate for the soil classification category:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Stockpile locations will be determined by the consent holder prior to construction beginning;</li> <li>(ii) Stockpile will be constructed in accordance with the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9 and the CMP in condition PA2.5;</li> <li>(iii) Stockpiles will be maintained to be clean and tidy, with a stable slope in accordance with section 7.4.4 of the CMP at condition PA2.5;</li> <li>(iv) Bunds or sediment fences will be constructed or installed in accordance with the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9 around the edges of the stockpiles to prevent discharge of contaminated stormwater run-off;</li> <li>(v) Stockpiles will be inspected at least daily and if required, sprayed with water in sufficient quantity to prevent dust generation, without causing run-off;</li> <li>(vi) Where stockpiled material is odorous it will be treated with an odour suppressant to limit the release of odour off-site;</li> <li>(vii) Liquid levels within bunds/stockpile areas will be monitored and if the liquid exceeds more than 10% of the bund volume, the liquid volume within the bund/stockpile area will be reduced to no more than 5% of the bund volume;</li> <li>(viii) All discharges arising from stockpiles shall be managed, tested and discharged in accordance with the procedures stated in the CMP 7.6.4 at condition PA2.5 and the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9; and</li> <li>(ix) No soil/spoil stockpiles shall be located within the Waitohi Reserve, except for the stockpiling of the top 100mm of soil (from the Waitohi Reserve itself) containing the turf root system which will be re-used when the Waitohi Reserve is no longer used as the construction laydown area.</li> </ul> <p>(c) Loading and Transportation: the consent holder shall ensure:</p>	<p>limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
--	---	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Retention of copies of the necessary disposal operator permits to confirm that the facility can receive the materials prior to transportation off-site;</li> <li>(ii) Retention of all weighbridge dockets and/or a summary sheet of soil/spoil disposed off-site in accordance with section 7.4.4(ii) of the CMP at condition PA2.5;</li> <li>(iii) Vehicles will be visually inspected by a nominated site representative prior to the leaving site to confirm that there is no excess soil/spoil on vehicle tyres; and</li> <li>(iv) Spillages of soil/spoil which occur during the placement of soil/spoil in trucks will be cleaned up prior to the truck moving.</li> </ul>	
1.12.	<p><b>Soil classification</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.3 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) If contaminated soils are encountered during works, verification testing will be carried out to establish how any contaminated spoils are to be handled and disposed of.</li> <li>(b) If material excavated is to be disposed of as clean fill the concentrations of contaminants of concern need to be below the Marlborough District Council's (MDC's) Clean Fill Guideline values (Landcare Research MDC, Cleanfill, 2013).</li> </ul>	<p>This condition includes additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
1.13.	<p><b>Imported fill</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.7 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) If material is to be brought onsite from a certified supplier, documentation shall be sought to confirm the material is clean fill and complies with clean fill criteria.</li> <li>(b) All imported material shall comply with clean fill criteria.</li> <li>(c) If material is imported from an uncertified site or supplier, then material of this nature shall be sampled and tested if it cannot be confirmed that the material originates from a "clean" / non-HAIL source. Testing shall as a minimum include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Total organic content (TOC) heavy metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, Copper, mercury, nickel, lead and zinc);</li> <li>(ii) Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH);</li> <li>(iii) Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) – includes polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and organochlorine pesticides (OCP); and,</li> <li>(iv) Asbestos (presence/absence).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>This condition includes additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
1.14.	<p><b>Accidental Discovery of Contamination</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.8 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p>	<p>This condition includes additional standards and limits that are to</p>

	<p>If personnel observe any indicators of contamination, the consent holder must comply with the following steps:</p> <p>(a) Immediately cease all works within 10 metres of any part of the discovery, including shutting down all earth disturbing machinery and stopping earth moving activities</p> <p>(b) Switch off heat/ignition sources and isolate, contain or absorb any contaminant discharge;</p> <p>(c) Update the site hazard noticeboard to prevent access to the area;</p> <p>(d) Inform MDC and H&amp;S Manager and contaminated land consultant</p> <p>Grossly contaminated soil, spoil, refuse material or water that requires off-site disposal will be collected by appropriately licensed hazardous waste handlers and disposed of at facilities consented to accept the material.</p> <p>Should asbestos be observed or suspected during the excavation works, all work shall cease and the Worksafe New Zealand Guidelines for the management and removal of asbestos (3rd Edition) and Health &amp; Safety at Work (Asbestos) Regulations 2016 will be followed.</p>	<p>be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
1.15.	<p><b>Decontamination</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.9 of the CMP at condition PA2.5:</p> <p>Decontamination of personnel and portable equipment must be carried out to reduce safety, health and environmental risks and limit the migration of contaminants (from waste material, soil, water, equipment and PPE) around, and outside, the site.</p>	<p>This condition includes additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
1.16.	<p><b>Spill management</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of the Spill Management and Response Plan at condition PA2.8:</p> <p>(a) All earthmoving machinery, pumps, generators and ancillary equipment shall be operated so that spillages of fuel, oil and similar contaminants are prevented, particularly during refuelling and machinery services and maintenance.</p> <p>(b) No fuel or hazardous chemicals storage shall occur in areas over or immediately adjacent to the CMA or waterways.</p> <p>(c) In the event of a spill, MDC will be notified and appropriate spill response actions will be taken immediately to minimise any impact on the environment.</p> <p>(d) All hazardous substances shall be stored in locked, ventilated, and banded hazardous good containers well clear of vehicle and vessel movements, and above flood or storm surge risk levels.</p>	<p>This condition includes additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>

**Commented [BG8]:** Relocated from 'Spill Management and Response Plan' condition

**Commented [BG9]:** Relocated from 'Spill Management and Response Plan' condition

1.17.	<p><b>Dust and Odour</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of section 7.4.4.iv of the CMP at condition PA2.5 and the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9:</p> <p>(a) Dust must be minimised in accordance with GD05 and section 5.2.5 of the Good Practice Guide for Assessing and Managing Dust (MfE, Dust Management 2016).</p> <p>(b) There must be no objectionable or offensive discharge of dust to the extent that it causes an adverse effect (including on human health) at or beyond the legal boundary of the site.</p>	<p>As discussed in RFI #1 at paragraph [49], a stand-alone 'dust and odour' condition has been included.</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>																												
1.18.	<p><b>Terrestrial Construction Noise and Vibration</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation the Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP) at condition PA2.10:</p> <p>(a) Construction noise shall be measured and assessed in accordance with the provisions of New Zealand Standard NZS 6803:1999 "Acoustics - Construction Noise" and comply with the following Project Standards at any occupied building, unless otherwise provided for in the CNVMP at condition PA2.10.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 1122 863 1346"> <thead> <tr> <th>Day</th> <th>Time</th> <th>dB LAeq (30min)</th> <th>dB LAfmax</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Monday to Friday</td> <td>0630 – 0730</td> <td>55</td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0730 – 1800</td> <td>70</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1800 – 2000</td> <td>65</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Saturday</td> <td>0730 – 1800</td> <td>70</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sunday</td> <td>0730 – 1800</td> <td>55</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All other times (night-time)</td> <td>45</td> <td>75</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(b) Construction vibration shall be measured and assessed in accordance with German Standard DIN 4150-3:1999 "Structural Vibration – Part 3: Effects of Vibration on Structures" and comply with the limits in Tables 1 and 3 of the Standard unless otherwise provided for in the CNVMP at condition PA2.10.</p> <p><i>Note: underwater noise triggers are addressed in condition PA2.10.1(e)(iv).</i></p>	Day	Time	dB LAeq (30min)	dB LAfmax	Monday to Friday	0630 – 0730	55	75		0730 – 1800	70	85		1800 – 2000	65	80	Saturday	0730 – 1800	70	85	Sunday	0730 – 1800	55	85	All other times (night-time)	45	75		<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
Day	Time	dB LAeq (30min)	dB LAfmax																											
Monday to Friday	0630 – 0730	55	75																											
	0730 – 1800	70	85																											
	1800 – 2000	65	80																											
Saturday	0730 – 1800	70	85																											
Sunday	0730 – 1800	55	85																											
All other times (night-time)	45	75																												
1.19.	<p><b>Erosion, Sediment Control and Stormwater</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved by the implementation of the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9:</p> <p>(a) Methods to minimise erosion and/or sediment mobilisation into the receiving environment shall generally be in accordance with Auckland Council's Guidance Document 005 – Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region.</p>	<p>New condition to include additional standards and limits that are to be achieved (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p>																												

**Commented [BG10]:** Relocated from the 'Earthworks Management' condition.

**Commented [BG11]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

		Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].
<b>2. Management Plans Conditions</b>		
2.1.	All construction work shall be carried out in accordance with the relevant provisions of applicable management plan(s) required by these conditions. <b>Certain management plans are only applicable to consent application 2A, as indicated in brackets in condition PA2.3 below.</b>	Condition amended to provide clarity as to what management plan applies to what consent.
2.2.	<p>(a) The draft management plans lodged with the resource consent application and those required to be prepared in accordance with these conditions, shall be prepared and/or updated and finalised by the consent holder and provided to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council for certification at least 30 working days prior to the commencement of construction of the relevant stage. A list of the management plans requiring certification is set out in condition PA2.3 below.</p> <p>(b) The certification process must be confined to confirming that the Management Plan adequately gives effect to the relevant condition(s).</p> <p>(c) If the Consent Holder has not received a response from the Compliance Manager within 20 working days of the date of submission under Condition PA2.2(a) above, the Management Plan must be deemed to be certified.</p> <p>(d) If the Compliance Manager's response is that they are not able to certify the Management Plan they must provide the Consent Holder with reasons and recommendations for changes to the Management Plan in writing. The Consent Holder must consider any reasons and recommendations of the Compliance Officer and resubmit an amended Management Plan for certification.</p> <p>(e) If the Consent Holder has not received a response from the Compliance Manager within 5 working days of the date of resubmission under Condition PA2.2(d) above, the Management Plan will be deemed to be certified.</p> <p>(f) The Consent Holder must comply with all certified Management Plans at all times.</p>	No change
2.3.	<p>The following management plans shall be submitted to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council in accordance with condition PA2.2:</p> <p>(a) Construction Management Plan required in condition PA2.5, and all subsidiary management plans <b>(required in conditions PA2.6 – PA2.10 and conditions PB3.2, PB3.3 and PB3.4):</b></p> <p>(i) Construction Traffic Management Plan (appendix to the CMP);</p>	<p>Condition amended to provide clarity as to what management plans apply to what consent.</p> <p>Amendment to provide a clear statement that if</p>

**Commented [BG12]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(ii) Demolition and Earthworks Management (section in the CMP);</li> <li>(iii) Spill Management and Response Plan (appendix to the CMP);</li> <li>(iv) Erosion, Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Plan (appendix to the CMP);</li> <li>(v) Navigational Safety Plan (appendix to the CMP) (refer to condition PB3.2) (2A);</li> <li>(vi) Coastal Marine Area Protection Management Plan (appendix to the CMP) (refer to condition PB3.3) (2A);</li> <li>(vii) Dredging Management Plan (DMP) (appendix to the CMP) (refer to condition PB3.4) (2A);</li> <li>(viii) Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (appendix to the CMP).</li> </ul> <p>(b) Avian Management Plan (refer to condition PB3.1) (2A).</p> <p>These management plans shall be prepared and implemented in general accordance with any relevant consent conditions.</p> <p>Where any conflict between the certified management plans and conditions of consent exists, the conditions of consent shall prevail.</p>	<p>there is a conflict between the management plan and the consent conditions, then the conditions of consent must prevail as per RFI #1 at paragraph [38].</p>
2.4.	<p>If the consent holder seeks to make a change to a certified management plan, the change shall be submitted to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council, for certification at least ten working days prior to any changes taking effect. If the Council does not provide a certification decision within five working days of receipt of the change, this change will be deemed to be certified.</p>	<p>No change</p>
2.5.	<p><b>Construction Management Plan</b></p> <p>In accordance with conditions PA 2.3 and PA2.5.1, the draft CMP (Appendix Va of the Resource Consent Application) shall be finalised by the consent holder. The purpose of the CMP is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Describe the project and types of construction methods expected to be used;</li> <li>(b) Identify the environmental considerations, mitigation measures and construction processes and controls proposed; and</li> <li>(c) Set out the steps to be taken to ensure the construction activities achieve the outcomes specified in conditions PA1.6, PA1.7, PA1.8(a), PA1.8(c), PA1.10(a), PA1.11 – PA1.15 and PA1.17.</li> </ul> <p>The CMP may be prepared in stages to reflect the stages of the project. Any material changes or subsequent material amendments to the CMP must, following the procedures set out in Condition PA2.4, be submitted to the Council for certification prior to being implemented.</p>	<p>Purpose of CMP added as per RFI #1 at paragraph [26].</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
2.5.1.	<p><b>The finalised CMP shall, as a minimum:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Outline the proposed construction methodology, staging, processes and techniques expected to be used for the project;</li> <li>(b) Incorporate any existing resource consents and environmental management framework including any other</li> </ul>	<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>

**Commented [BG13]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>health and safety, environmental, or operational management plans which apply to the project;</p> <p>(c) Identify the key environmental (including cultural) considerations that may be impacted by construction, and the potential effects of the construction work on the environment, including values of mana whenua;</p> <p>(d) Demonstrate the environmental management processes and procedures which will be used to manage and minimise or mitigate the effects on the natural character and values of the ferry precinct environs including public amenity, waterways, foreshore and seabed, and waterway/marine habitats and environment;</p> <p>(e) Set out protocols required for the accidental discovery of Māori cultural material / sites and archaeological material, including the presence of cultural monitors to achieve conditions PA1.6 and PA1.7;</p> <p>(f) Identify the external organisations including Tangata Whenua iwi, local authorities and stakeholders, and other affected parties, community groups, commercial businesses, and residents of Waitohi Picton, who may be affected by the construction work. The CMP shall also identify the key personnel, roles and responsibilities of the parties described above;</p> <p>(g) Require preparation of a Project Communications Plan. The purpose of the Project Communications Plan shall be to establish clear lines of communication between the consent holder and the external parties described in condition PA 2.5.1(e) to assist with management of construction effects on these parties.</p> <p>(h) The Proposed Communication Plan shall describe how communication is to be managed between project personnel and the external parties described in condition PA2.5.1(e);</p> <p>(i) The Project Communications Plan shall also set out the specific process for Tangata Whenua iwi, public and affected parties to register a complaint and for processing complaints received. This shall include demonstrating the process for identification and management of any issues that may arise, and implementation of corrective measures as required in consultation with those parties and</p> <p>(j) Incorporate the communications aspects of section 7.0 of the CNVMP at condition PA2.10 including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A requirement for written communication to be provided to occupiers of buildings within 100m of the scheduled works at least 5 days prior to the work commencing.</li> <li>- A requirement to advise occupants of buildings within 100m of any necessary night works of scheduled night works at least 5 days prior to the works commencing</li> <li>- Consultation with the sensitive receivers identified in the CNVMP shall be undertaken at least 5 days prior to works that may affect these receivers commences.</li> <li>- A requirement to advise occupiers of buildings identified in the CNVMP (Table 8) as potentially being affected by vibration of works that may generate vibration effects at least 5 days prior to scheduled works commencing.</li> </ul>	<p>Further detail has been provided in clauses (g) (h) and (i) in relation to how communication is to be managed in response to various comments received on the topic (see for example Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, Dave Ellwood, EcoWorld Aquarium, Philippa Waters and Dave Watson, Carol Dauber and Peter Rivers, Marlborough Heritage Trust).</p> <p>New clause inserted at (j) in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p> <p>New clause inserted at (k) relating to air quality as per RFI#1 at paragraph [55].</p>
--	---	--

	(k) Include methods for management of air quality throughout the construction period, in accordance the <i>Good Practice Guide for Assessing and Managing Dust</i> , Ministry for Environment, 2016 and the <i>Good Practice Guide for Assessing and Managing Odour</i> , Ministry for Environment, 2016, or any subsequent versions to achieve condition PA1.17(a).	
2.5.2.	<p><b>Roles and Responsibilities</b></p> <p>The CMP shall require that the consent holder ensure that personnel responsible for supervising contractor site staff (e.g. forepersons, supervisors, and managers), undergo environmental awareness training. Specifically, training may include (as relevant), but shall not be limited to:</p> <p>(a) The environmental management requirements and the processes included in the CMP;</p> <p>(b) The sensitivity of the coastal marine area and how these aspects should be managed (i.e. the presence of marine mammals, birds, etc); and</p> <p>(c) Briefings on the Māori cultural values of the area and requirements for cultural engagement.</p>	No change
2.6.	<p><b>Construction Traffic Management Plan</b></p> <p>A project-specific CTMP shall be prepared for the duration of the project works. The purpose of the CTMP is to manage the effects of construction traffic, minimise impacts for State Highway and local road users as well as residents and local businesses within Waitohi Picton, and set out the steps to be taken to achieve the outcomes specified in condition PA1.8.</p> <p><b>The CTMP shall, as a minimum:</b></p> <p>(a) Provide details of the construction methodology and programme and the effect on the transport network;</p> <p>(b) Describe the expected construction traffic, volumes, type, routes and requirements;</p> <p>(c) Identify appropriate measures and controls to manage the traffic and off- site parking during construction, both within the site and adjacent road network, and how these will be implemented. These measures may include alternative road rerouting, physical barriers, temporary signage, temporary controls at intersections, speed restrictions, management of noise, dust and surface water, and restricted periods of construction operation. Specific consideration is to be given to the safety of school children who use the roading network in the vicinity of the construction site, including direct consultation with Picton School to understand use patterns and risks;</p> <p>(d) Establish procedures to appropriately manage the effects of construction works on the road network capacity, including delays caused by construction related temporary traffic management;</p> <p>(e) Ensure that access to private properties and businesses are retained, where practicable; and</p> <p>(f) Provide designated parking areas within site compounds and lay down areas for construction workers and construction related visitors' vehicles.</p>	<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p> <p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p> <p>Direct reference to Picton School has been included in clause (c) in response to Minister of Education's comment.</p>

**Commented [BG14]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

2.7.	<p><b>Demolition and Earthworks Management</b></p> <p>The purpose of the Demolition and Earthworks Management section at 7.4 of the CMP is to manage potential adverse effects on human health and the environment from the disturbance of soil and sediment during the site works.</p> <p><b>The Demolition and Earthworks Management section of the CMP shall, as a minimum:</b></p> <p>(a) Detail the operational procedures for the management of demolition materials and earthworks including contaminated soil and water encountered, and set out the steps to be taken to achieve the outcomes in conditions PA1.10(a) and PA1.11 - PA1.15;</p> <p>(b) Include project-specific requirements for the handling and management of actually or potentially contaminated soil, surface water and groundwater;</p> <p>(c) Detail mitigation measures to be adopted to manage potential adverse effects to human health and the environment from the disturbance of soil and sediment during the site works to comply with conditions PA1.12 - PA1.15.</p>	<p>Purpose of Demolition and Earthworks Management added as per RFI #1 at paragraph [26].</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p> <p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p>
2.8.	<p><b>Spill Management and Response Plan</b></p> <p>A project-specific Spill Management and Response Plan (SMRP) shall be prepared as an appendix of the CMP. The purpose of the SMRP is to identify the construction processes, plant and equipment, materials and substances proposed to be used during construction of the Project which have a risk of hazardous materials or hazardous substances spillage, and identify the methods and techniques, and management procedures and protocols, including monitoring and reporting, that will be implemented in order to appropriately manage these spillage risks to comply with condition PA1.16.</p>	<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
2.8.1.	<p><b>The SMRP shall, as a minimum set out:</b></p> <p>(a) Steps to achieve compliance with condition PA1.16.</p> <p>(b) Specific mitigation measures to manage the effects on the marine ecology and water quality that must be implemented, which may include but not be limited to:</p> <p>(i) Cleaning and maintenance of all civil and marine plant, equipment and machinery before and at regular intervals during use at this site, in appropriate bunded areas incorporating oil/grease traps where possible;</p> <p>(ii) Use of biodegradable hydraulic oils where practicable, particularly for equipment being used in the coastal marine area or in and around waterways; and</p> <p>(iii) Secure and effective plant and equipment refuelling systems and storage measures incorporating secondary containment and/or bunded fuel storage.</p> <p>(c) Details of emergency spill management processes.</p>	<p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p>

Commented [BG15]: Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

Commented [BG16]: Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

2.8.2.	<p><b>The SMRP shall require the consent holder to:</b></p> <p>(a) Undertake regular monitoring of construction equipment, fuel storage facilities and refuelling systems, and chemical and hazardous substance storage facilities;</p> <p>(b) Maintain a register of all hazardous substances and dangerous goods being stored on site;</p> <p>(c) Implement best practice plant and equipment maintenance and refuelling procedures and methods, and effective management processes including regular inspections, audits, monitoring and reporting; and</p> <p>(d) Ensure that all staff are fully aware of the requirements of the SMRP and the need to protect the environment, are trained, qualified, and capable of the tasks they are carrying out, adopt best practice methodologies where required by these conditions or the SMRP, and behave in accordance with the objectives of the SMRP and the CMP.</p>	Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28]
2.9.	<p><b>Erosion, Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Plan</b></p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall prepare a project-specific ESCSMP as an appendix to the CMP. The purpose of the ESCSMP is to identify the construction processes, which have a risk of causing erosion, sediment runoff and stormwater runoff, and identify the methods and techniques, and management procedures and protocols, including monitoring and reporting, that will be implemented in order to appropriately manage this risk to comply with conditions PA1.5(b), PA1.11(b), PA1.17, PA1.19, PB2.7 and PC2.1.</p>	Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].
2.9.1.	<p><b>The ESCSMP shall, as a minimum:</b></p> <p>(a) Set out steps to achieve compliance with conditions PA1.5(b), PA1.11(b), PA1.17, PA1.19, PB2.7 and PC2.1.</p> <p>(b) Set out best practice methods to minimise or avoid erosion and/or sediment mobilisation into the receiving environment. The methods should generally be in accordance with Auckland Council's Guidance Document 005 – Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region.</p> <p>(c) Include, but not be limited to:</p> <p>(i) Contaminant hazard mitigation procedures for contaminated dust, contaminated water supply and personal hygiene;</p> <p>(ii) Contingency measures in the event of accidental/unexpected discovery of contaminated material is found</p> <p>(iii) The methodologies to be implemented to provide appropriate fish passage during works in the Waitohi Awa and works in the Waitohi Culvert to meet condition PA1.5(b) and condition PC2.1(a).</p> <p>(iv) The methods to manage contaminated surface water.</p> <p>(d) Set out the necessary actions to be taken during disturbance to ensure compliance with condition PA1.17 which shall include, but not be limited to:</p>	<p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p> <p>In response to the Panel's concern around dust management, more detail on how dust will be managed and monitored throughout the construction period has been included in this condition as per RFI#1 response at paragraphs [50]-[51].</p>

**Commented [BG17]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Monitoring daily weather forecast for high winds &gt; 20 kph and plan work accordingly;</li> <li>(ii) Damping down soil surfaces regularly if dust generation likely;</li> <li>(iii) Monitoring wind speed and direction throughout the work/day;</li> <li>(iv) Ensuring personnel likely to be exposed to dust wear appropriate PPE; and</li> <li>(v) Ensuring soil is not tracked beyond site boundaries by transport vehicles.</li> </ul> <p>(e) Set out that if significant odorous material or dust emission issues are encountered, the consent holder shall implement the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Contact the appropriate Health and Safety Manager and contaminated land consultant and/or regulator representatives for the Project;</li> <li>(ii) Suspend further disturbance in the area until suitable mitigation measures are put in place;</li> <li>(iii) Record the discovery in the daily report and include details of remedial actions;</li> <li>(iv) Limit the duration of soil/refuse/water exposure and handling.</li> <li>(v) Avoid stockpiling where possible;</li> <li>(vi) Apply odour suppressant and cover exposed material to suppress odour emissions.</li> <li>(vii) Consider the use of soil bed filters for odours likely to be emitted for prolonged periods,;</li> <li>(viii) Minimise the running of equipment, such as diesel-powered vehicles (trucks, excavators, etc.) when not in use to minimise exhaust odours;</li> <li>(ix) Remove excavated odorous or dusty material from site as quickly as possible in covered trucks;</li> <li>(x) Assess whether excavation should be undertaken in a manner that exposes a small area at a time, allowing the soil to ventilate, before exposing another small area, and so on; and,</li> <li>(xi) In the event of odours derived from an accidental discovery of contaminated land, engage a contaminated land consultant to undertake an assessment to determine further control measures.</li> </ul> <p>(f) Include specific mitigation measures to manage the effects of erosion, sediment transport and stormwater runoff on the receiving environment, that must be implemented, which may include but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Containment bunding of stormwater catchment areas within areas of earthworks, to enable dewatering of any excavated materials, sediment settlement ponds, traps and/or filter systems;</li> <li>(ii) Silt fences around the toe of any stormwater catchment ponds;</li> </ul>	
--	--	--

	<p>(iii) Sediment curtain or booms to contain sediment released from construction earthworks at the edge of the waterways or reclamation adjacent to the CMA; and</p> <p>(g) Set out the management protocols for contaminated surface water management, which shall include, but are not necessarily limited to the following:</p> <p>(i) Surface water is to be diverted away from areas of ground disturbance where contaminated materials are present. Temporary bunding systems including socks, sandbags etc. shall be employed as necessary;</p> <p>(ii) If surface water comes into contact with contaminated materials and dewatering is required, it shall be contained and shall not be discharged to the stormwater system without treatment or prior testing in accordance with conditions PA2.9.1(g)(iv) - PA2.9.1(g)(vii) below; and,</p> <p>(iii) If contaminated surface water is observed within excavations, it shall be contained and shall not to be discharged to the stormwater system without treatment or prior testing in accordance with conditions PA2.9.1(g)(iv) - PA2.9.1(g)(vii) below;</p> <p>(iv) All surface water that has come into contact with contaminated materials and all contaminated surface water shall be collected and tested to determine how the liquid is to be disposed of in accordance with section 7.6.4 of the CMP at condition PA2.5. As a minimum this testing shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Testing for suspended solids, heavy metals and hydrocarbons.</li> </ul> <p>(v) The results of the testing required in condition PA 2.9.1(g)(iv) shall meet the requirements of the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality Guidelines (ANZECC, 2000) Table 3.4.1 'Trigger values for toxicants for freshwater (where available) at the level of protection of 80 per cent of species.</p> <p>(vi) Failure to meet this standard will require water treatment, sample collection and repeat analysis to determine if the water meets discharge criteria in accordance with the procedures detailed in the ESCMP.</p> <p>(vii) If the results meet the respective ANZECC, 2000 criteria, the liquid meets the standard to be discharged to the stormwater network.</p>	
2.9.2.	<p>The ESCSMP shall require the consent holder to:</p> <p>(a) Implement best practice earthworks and stormwater management, methods and processes including regular inspections, audits, monitoring and reporting of stormwater discharge quality at the site boundary, particularly after any heavy rainfall events;</p> <p>(b) Ensure that all staff are fully aware of the requirements of the ESCSMP and the need to protect the environment, are trained, qualified, and capable of the tasks they are carrying out, adopt best practice methodologies where required by</p>	Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].

	<p>these conditions or the ESCSMP, and behave in accordance with the objectives of the ESCSMP and the CMP;</p> <p>(c) Take remedial measures as necessary to rectify erosion and sediment management issues or incidents as soon as possible, including any additional temporary works, containment, or filtration measures;</p> <p>(d) Inform the local authority of any incidents or if erosion or sediment and stormwater control objectives are not being achieved.</p> <p>(e) Take all reasonably practicable steps to keep stormwater and surface run-off separated from contaminated soil/water associated with earthworks; and</p> <p>(f) Ensure the establishment, monitoring and maintenance of stormwater/surface water separation and diversion controls.</p>	
2.10.	<p><b>Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan</b></p> <p>The draft Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (CNVMP), (Appendix Y of the Resource Consent Application), shall be finalised by the consent holder, with input from both an acoustic specialist and a marine mammal specialist.</p> <p>The purpose of CNVMP is to:</p> <p>(a) Identify and adopt the Best Practicable Option (BPO) for the management of construction noise and vibration, and to minimise any exceedance of the construction noise and vibration criteria set out in condition PA1.18, as far as practicable;</p> <p>(b) Define the procedures to be followed when the noise and vibration standards in condition PA1.18 cannot be met;</p> <p>(c) Inform the duration, frequency and timing of works to manage disruption;</p> <p>(d) Require engagement with affected receivers and timely management of complaints;</p> <p>(e) Establish construction management protocols and methodologies to manage the underwater noise levels of impact and vibration piling to protect marine mammals and in particular avoid adverse effects on threatened or at-risk species; and</p> <p>(f) Set out how estimates of underwater noise will be validated by instrumental monitoring and how it will be reported to the Marlborough District Council.</p>	<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p>
2.10.1.	<p><b>The finalised CNVMP shall, as a minimum include:</b></p> <p>(a) The relevant measures from NZS 6803:1999 "Acoustics - Construction Noise", Annex E2 "Noise management plans";</p> <p>(b) The relevant measures from DIN 4150-3:1999 "Structural vibration - Part 3 Effects of vibration on structures", Appendix B "Measures for limiting the effects of vibration";</p> <p>(c) That piling will be restricted to the hours of 7am to 10pm, seven days a week, except where in-water piling is further constrained by condition PA2.10.1(e)(i);</p> <p>(d) That the Consent Holder shall undertake a pre-construction building condition survey for the <b>Edwin Fox structure</b>, and any building where the vibration levels are predicted to exceed the</p>	<p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p> <p>Reference to Edwin Fox in clause (d) has</p>

**Commented [BG18]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

	<p>cosmetic building damage limits in condition PA1.18(b). This includes buildings at 45, 49A and 49B Auckland Street and 2 Market Street as these buildings are within the predicted cosmetic building damage envelopes set out in <i>Table 8: Indicative distances for piling to comply with vibration limits at building foundations</i> of the CNVMP;</p> <p>(e) Control or mitigation measures to be undertaken that will minimise underwater noise effects on marine mammals and avoid adverse effects on threatened or at-risk species, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Restricting in-water impact or vibration pile driving to within half an hour after sunrise and half an hour before sunset (i.e. daylight hours only);</li> <li>(ii) Using piling methods that minimise underwater noise including 'Soft starts' (gradually increasing the intensity of impact piling) and the consideration of alternative driving methods and use of bubble curtains;</li> <li>(iii) Using a non-metallic 'dolly' or 'cushion cap' between the impact piling hammer and the driving helmet, (e.g. plastic or plywood);</li> <li>(iv) Establishing marine mammal observation zone(s) around the construction area to minimise any risk of hearing impairment or injury (i.e. TTS) to marine mammals from impact and vibration pile-driving activities. These zones shall: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) take account of difference in pile size, piling method, noise mitigation method/s, and species sensitivity to noise;</li> <li>(b) be based initially on the zones identified in the Marshall Day Acoustics report, dated 11 November 2020 (Tables 10 and 11), and modified following the underwater noise monitoring and verification outlined in condition PA2.10.1(v); and</li> <li>(c) meet the TTS thresholds outlined in the following table:</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <table border="1" data-bbox="276 1352 876 1756"> <thead> <tr> <th>Hearing Group</th> <th>Impulsive sources (e.g. impact piling)</th> <th>Non-impulsive sources (e.g. vibratory piling)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>High-frequency cetaceans</td> <td>196 dB <math>L_{peak}</math></td> <td>153 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(hf)</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(Hector's dolphin)</td> <td>140 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(hf)</math></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mid-frequency cetaceans</td> <td>224 dB <math>L_{peak}</math></td> <td>178 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(mf)</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(Orca, common and bottlenose dolphins)</td> <td>170 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(mf)</math></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Otariid pinnipeds (Fur Seals)</td> <td>226 dB <math>L_{peak}</math></td> <td>199 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(ow)</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>188 dB <math>SEL_{cum}(ow)</math></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Hearing Group	Impulsive sources (e.g. impact piling)	Non-impulsive sources (e.g. vibratory piling)	High-frequency cetaceans	196 dB $L_{peak}$	153 dB $SEL_{cum}(hf)$	(Hector's dolphin)	140 dB $SEL_{cum}(hf)$		Mid-frequency cetaceans	224 dB $L_{peak}$	178 dB $SEL_{cum}(mf)$	(Orca, common and bottlenose dolphins)	170 dB $SEL_{cum}(mf)$		Otariid pinnipeds (Fur Seals)	226 dB $L_{peak}$	199 dB $SEL_{cum}(ow)$		188 dB $SEL_{cum}(ow)$		<p>been included in response to comments from various parties concerned with vibration effects on the Edwin Fox (this includes Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, EcoWorld Aquarium &amp; Wildlife Rehabilitation Centre, the EcoWorld Science Heritage and Education Trust and Picton Cinemas and Atawhai Café and Marlborough Heritage Trust).</p> <p>Inclusion of subclause (e)(vii) in response to the Panel's Specific Question 42 in RFI #1 about the conditions proposed to address training of staff and marine mammal observers (see paragraphs [224]-[228] of RFI#1).</p>
Hearing Group	Impulsive sources (e.g. impact piling)	Non-impulsive sources (e.g. vibratory piling)																					
High-frequency cetaceans	196 dB $L_{peak}$	153 dB $SEL_{cum}(hf)$																					
(Hector's dolphin)	140 dB $SEL_{cum}(hf)$																						
Mid-frequency cetaceans	224 dB $L_{peak}$	178 dB $SEL_{cum}(mf)$																					
(Orca, common and bottlenose dolphins)	170 dB $SEL_{cum}(mf)$																						
Otariid pinnipeds (Fur Seals)	226 dB $L_{peak}$	199 dB $SEL_{cum}(ow)$																					
	188 dB $SEL_{cum}(ow)$																						

	<p>(v) Underwater noise monitoring of representative impact or vibration pile driving to verify the zones referred to in condition PA2.10.1(e)(iv);</p> <p>(vi) Ceasing or not commencing impact or vibration piling activities if a marine mammal or diver is observed within the zones referred to in condition PA2.10.1(e)(iv).</p> <p>(vii) <b>The need for Marine Mammal Observers including training requirements as required by condition PB2.8.</b></p>	
2.10.2.	<p>The certified CNVMP shall be updated or amended and submitted to the Council for re- certification if:</p> <p>(a) Measured underwater noise levels exceed the predicted levels in the Marshall Day Acoustics report, dated 11 November 2020 (Tables 10 and 11); or</p> <p>(b) There is a change in piling method, size or type of piles to be driven which could result in higher noise levels.</p>	No change.
3.	<p><b>Review Condition</b></p> <p>In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Marlborough District Council may review the conditions of this consent for the purpose of ensuring unforeseen adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Notice of review for such purposes may be given once annually between 1 July and 30 July for the duration of the consent.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [47] and [66]: the suggested amendment to a six monthly review is not accepted and considered unnecessarily onerous. For more detail, please see the Applicants' response to RFI #4.</p>

**Commented [BG19]:** Relocated from Package 2A to General Conditions

<b>Part B: Application 2A Applicant: Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited; Port Resource Consent</b>		<b>Origin of Change</b>
1.	<p>Notwithstanding the requirements of condition PA1.2, the activities authorised by this consent shall be undertaken in general accordance with the information submitted by the consent holder with the application and the plans, listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- WSP Plans entitled: Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited / KiwiRail, Waihoi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Civil Concept Design, Application 2A, dated 11.11.2020;</li> <li>- Shearwater Consulting Limited Plan entitled: Picton Ferry Redevelopment Commercial Berths, Proposed Layout, dated 08.06.2020;</li> </ul>	<p>Amendments to this condition to provide more certainty as to the relevant information and plans that will prevail in the event of there being inconsistency between documents.</p> <p>Advice note included to address Panel's concern around some of the information provided</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Shearwater Consulting Limited Plan entitled: Picton Ferry Redevelopment Commercial Berths, Context Within Picton Harbour, dated 05.06.2020;</li> <li>- Athfields Architects Ltd Plans entitled: Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Ferry Terminal Building RC.A.T, For Resource Consent, dated 22.07.2020.</li> <li>- Wraight and Associates Ltd Plans entitled: Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Landscape: Resource Consent, Landscape Package 2A Ferry Terminal Precinct &amp; Waitohi Reserve, dated 23 October 2020.</li> </ul> <p>Where any conflict between the documents and these conditions of consent exists, the conditions of consent shall prevail.</p> <p>Where there is inconsistency between the documents listed above, the applicants' responses to the clause 25 of Schedule 6 request for further information, and information provided through the Response to Comments, the most recent plans and information prevail.</p> <p><i>Advice Note: In this condition "in general accordance with" does not include changes to the scale of works for which an application under section 127 of the RMA would be required. Minor amendments to the engineering and design of the works may be approved upon request to the Compliance Manager – Marlborough District Council, provided it can be demonstrated to the reasonable satisfaction of Council that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>the outcome is not materially different than; and</i></li> <li>- <i>any adverse effects will be no greater than; and</i></li> <li>- <i>no person would be adversely affected beyond what was granted consent.</i></li> </ul>	<p>with the application still in its conceptual phase and yet to be finalised as per RFI #1 at paragraphs [7]-[12].</p>
2.1.	<p><b>Design Forum</b></p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall convene a Design Forum, which shall include a representative from each of KiwiRail and Port Marlborough, up to two iwi representatives, including at least one nominated by Te Ātiawa, and one independent design expert engaged by the consent holder.</p> <p>(b) The purpose of the Design Forum is to provide specific recommendations to the consent holder on the final design elements of the Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct, including landscaping, Māori cultural expression and the terminal building's external materials and finish.</p> <p>(c) The Design Forum shall provide its recommendations to the consent holder at least 1 month before the proposed date of commencement of the stage of construction which includes the terminal building. If the Design Forum cannot reach consensus, it may provide more than one set of recommendations to the consent holder, along with an explanation as to the lack of consensus.</p> <p>(d) The consent holder shall either accept in full or modify the recommendations of the Design Forum. If the consent holder modifies any of the Design Forum's recommendations, the consent holder shall provide reasons in writing to the Design Forum and to the Marlborough District Council as to why any changes, in its</p>	No change.

	<p>sole opinion, are appropriate or necessary based on feasibility and practicability of implementation.</p> <p>(e) The consent holder shall be responsible for all reasonable costs associated with the resourcing of the Design Forum.</p>	
2.2.	<p><b>Aquarium Coastal Water Take</b></p> <p>The consent holder shall ensure that construction works do not derogate from EcoWorld Aquarium's ability to take coastal water in accordance with its resource consent U001220.</p>	<p>Condition included in response to EcoWorld Aquarium's comment and as noted in paragraph [69] of RFI #1.</p>
2.3.	<p><b>Monitoring usage of Carparking Spaces at terminal</b></p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall monitor usage of the on-site carparking area that services the ferry terminal building. This monitoring shall involve onsite parking surveys to determine car park occupancy rates. The survey will include a mix of at least two peak days (days falling between 23 December – 31 January) and at least two non-peak days, starting five years from the implementation of this resource consent, and then annually for the following five years.</p> <p>(b) The purpose of this monitoring is to determine whether the number of on-site car parking spaces provided is generally adequate to meet demand. If a survey in any year indicates greater than 90% average occupancy for more than 2 successive hours on either peak or non-peak days, the consent holder shall develop and implement a car parking strategy. The average hourly occupancy shall be calculated separately for peak and non-peak days. The average hourly occupancy shall be calculated over the periods in the day which begin one hour before a ferry arrives and end one hour after a ferry departs.</p> <p>(c) The carparking strategy will list methods the consent holder will use to better manage carparking supply, such as, but not limited to, reallocation of carparks between staff, rental car companies and the public, directing drivers to available carparks, and if necessary, provide additional carparks for the public during peak periods.</p> <p>(d) The records will be retained by the consent holder and will be made available to the Marlborough District Council on request.</p>	<p>No changes.</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [42]-[45]: further monitoring obligations suggest by MDC is unnecessarily onerous, particularly given the recent policy direction in the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020. For more detail, please see the Applicants' response to RFI #4.</p>
2.4.	<p><b>Avian Monthly Baseline Survey</b></p> <p>(a) Prior to the commencement of any demolition and construction related activities authorised by this consent, the consent holder shall commission a suitably qualified expert to undertake a monthly bird survey over the period of one year to record the presence of any threatened or at-risk indigenous species within 100m of the project sites at Picton and Shakespeare Bay.</p> <p>(b) The bird survey must describe the survey method used and record the location, species and numbers present, and behaviour observed (e.g., foraging, roosting, or nesting/breeding). In particular, the survey must record the locations and number of nesting sites of any threatened or at-risk indigenous species within or near to</p>	<p>In response to the Panel's Specific Question 32 in RFI#1 in relation to a monthly bird survey for a year prior to commencement of works (as per RFI #1 at paragraphs [189]-[193] and RFI #4 at paragraphs [7]-[9]), a new condition incorporating aspects of the Panel's draft</p>

	<p>the project footprint, including any sites utilised at Shakespeare Bay.</p> <p>(c) The purpose of this year long bird survey is to inform the development and implementation of the Avian Management Plan in condition PB3.1.</p> <p>Te Ātiawa shall be invited to identify a suitable person to take part in the survey to enable exercise of their kaitiakitanga role.</p>	<p>condition provided to the Applicants on the 5/03/21 has been included. Dr Bramley has also included additional amendments to this condition.</p> <p>In response to the Panel's Specific Question 33 in RFI#1, the opportunity for Te Ātiawa to be involved has been included as per RFI#1 at paragraph [194].</p>
2.5.	<p><b>Dredging Management</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of the Coastal Marine Area Protection Management Plan (CMAPMP) at condition PB3.3.</p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall implement best practice dredging methods to minimise water turbidity;</p> <p>(b) The consent holder shall undertake regular monitoring of the dredging processes and documentation of dredged spoil as detailed further in condition PB2.5(c) below.</p> <p>(c) To minimise sediment re-suspension and contaminant release during dredging, the consent holder shall implement the water quality validation and monitoring system specified in section 7.5.8 of the CMP at condition PA2.5. The monitoring system will require the following:</p> <p>(i) Monitoring to be undertaken prior to dredging to establish expected current and tidal direction and background turbidity levels in the harbour;</p> <p>(ii) Monitoring to begin, at a minimum, two days prior to dredging and continue during dredging. Results will be compared at both mid ebb and mid flood tide to the background levels measured to establish a tidal range of values;</p> <p>(iii) Setting of turbidity action trigger levels (based on the outcomes of condition PB2.5(c)(ii) above) at which dredging will cease;</p> <p>(iv) Monitoring to occur at approximately 1 m below water surface and at depth and approximately 1 m above the seabed; and</p> <p>(v) At completion of construction a monitoring report will be provided to MDC.</p> <p>(d) The consent holder shall ensure that all relevant staff are fully aware of the requirements in the DMP and the need to protect the environment, are trained, qualified, and capable of the tasks they are carrying out, adopt best practice methodologies where required by these consent conditions</p>	<p>This condition has been included to specify the standards and limits that are to be achieved by the DMP (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>This condition also addresses MDC's comment at paragraph [65] to add the DMP to the condition set and subsequent details of the DMP.</p>

**Commented [BG20]:** Subclause (c) originally contained in Dredge Spoil Management Condition below.

	or the DMP, and behave in accordance with the objectives of the DMP.	
2.6.	<p><b>Dredge Spoil Management</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved through the implementation of the Dredging Management Plan (DMP) at condition PB3.4.</p> <p>(a) If the consent holder proposes to use dredge spoil excavated from between 0.75 m and 2.5 m below seabed as cleanfill, such spoil shall first be tested for the following contaminants to determine whether such use is possible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)</li> <li>• Tributyltin (TBT) and dibutyltin (DBT)</li> <li>• Heavy metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel and zinc)</li> </ul> <p>(b) Testing of these substances is required to meet the standards described in the Ministry for the Environment, Guide to the Management of Cleanfills, 2002 – ‘The Cleanfill Guidelines’.</p> <p>(c) Dredge spoil to a depth of 2.5m below seabed that has been tested and is not classified as cleanfill in accordance with condition PB2.6(b) above can be:</p> <p>(i) used to create stabilized mudcrete. The method for creating stabilised mudcrete shall be in accordance with the Dredging Management Plan.</p> <p>(ii) blended with clean fill materials to produce materials that can be classified as clean fill in accordance with condition PB2.6(b).</p> <p>(d) Leachability testing in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 1992, Test Method 1311: Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure of dredge spoil created into stabilised mudcrete is required before it can be reused on site.</p> <p>(e) Dredge spoil collected from 2.5m below seabed can be reused, stockpiled and/or disposed of as clean fill.</p> <p>(f) Containment sites and processing areas for dredged spoil shall be managed so as not to result in stormwater discharges that are greater than the pre- development stormwater discharge volume. (Note conditions PB2.6(i) – PB2.6(l) below manage discharges quality from dredge spoil drying)</p> <p>(g) The consent holder shall take the following steps to avoid spillage of dredged sediment transported to the containment sites:</p> <p>(i) Inspections of the site area, including entry and exit points of the containment sites, to identify whether spillages have occurred. Inspections should occur twice per day during transfer of dredged sediment; and</p> <p>(ii) Prompt removal of any identified spillage and transport to a containment site.</p> <p>(h) For drying of dredge spoil, all dredge spoil shall be:</p>	<p>This condition has been amended to specify the standards and limits that are to be achieved by the DMP (see clauses (b) and (d) (see RFI #1 at paragraphs [18]-[20]).</p> <p>In response to the Panel’s Specific Question 8 of RFI#1 on where and how dredge material will be dewatered, Clause (h) has been included to provide more certainty as per RFI #1 at paragraph [101].</p> <p>As noted at paragraphs [116]-[119] of RFI #1, in response to the Panel’s Specific Question 14, reference has been made to how contaminated dredge spoil can be blended (see clause (c), and that these methodologies are to be included in the DMP.</p> <p>Dredge spoil discharge standards have been included at clauses (i) – (l) as per RFI #1 at paragraph [131].</p> <p>The cross reference to the DMP at the beginning of the condition also addresses MDC’s concern in its comment at [64].</p>

	<p>(i) placed in geotextile bags or an alternative method agreed with MDC that are designed to contain all sediment; and</p> <p>(ii) The dredge spoil drying containment site shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• stormwater catchment ponds/bunding with impermeable lining (the impermeable lining may either be part of the geotextile bag or part of the alternative method) to enable the collection of all liquid from the dredge spoil; and</li> <li>• silt fences around the down slope side of stormwater catchment pond/bund;</li> </ul> <p>(i) Liquid collected during dewatering of dredge spoil shall be tested to determine how the liquid is to be disposed of in accordance with section 7.6.4 of the CMP at condition PA2.5 and in addition this testing shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Testing for suspended solids, heavy metals, hydrocarbons and TBT. The suspended solids shall be collected and tested for TBT and DBT</li> </ul> <p>(j) The results of the testing required in condition PB2.6(i) shall meet the requirements of the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality Guidelines (ANZECC, 2000) Table 3.4.1 'Trigger values for toxicants for freshwater (where available) at the level of protection of 80 per cent of species.</p> <p>(k) Failure to meet this standard will require water treatment, sample collection and repeat analysis to determine if the water meets discharge criteria in accordance with the procedures detailed in the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9.</p> <p>(l) If the results meet the respective ANZECC, 2000 criteria, the liquid meets the standard to be discharged to the stormwater network.</p> <p>(m) In addition to the requirements set out in the conditions PB2.6(a) – PB2.6(l), dredge spoil containment sites located in Shakespeare Bay shall be managed using best practice erosion and sediment control management in order to avoid (other than minor or transitory) adverse effects on the saltmarsh wetland to achieve compliance with condition PB2.7.</p> <p>(n) The mixing or blending of dredge spoil will not occur at sites identified as The Farm and the Cleanfill Site located in Shakespeare Bay, and as identified on the WSP Plan C-1031 Rev. H attached the Applicants response to the Request for Further Information dated 9 March 2021.</p>	
2.7.	<p><b>Shakespeare Bay Significant Marine Site and Wetland</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved via the implementation of the ESCSMP at condition PA2.9:</p> <p>(a) No physical disturbance of wetland 991 or ecologically significant marine site 4.10 (listed in the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan) located at the head of Shakespeare Bay.</p>	<p>In response to the Panel's Specific Question 31 in RFI #1, this condition has been included to address the Panel's concern about avoiding adverse effects on the</p>

	(b) The ecological values of these areas must be suitably protected from any discharge into these areas from project activities by appropriate filtering and or diversion of discharges including but not limited to the erection of a super silt fence.	saltmarsh wetland habitat as per RFI #1 at paragraph [188].
2.8.	<p><b>Marine Mammal Observers</b></p> <p>The following shall be achieved by the implementation of the CNVMP at condition PA2.10:</p> <p>(a) A qualified Marina Mammal Observer (“MMO”) shall provide continuous watch over the main boundary of the MMOZ for marine mammals during piling operations.</p> <p>(b) A qualified MMO is as defined by the Department of Conservation’s observer standards and training Code of Conduct for Seismic Surveys.</p> <p>(c) A Dedicated MMO is a suitably trained MMO to provide additional lookout for marine mammals during piling operations within inner port and marina areas of the MMOZ, when necessary. The training for Dedicated MMOs shall include, but not be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Types of marine mammals likely to be present in the area and how to identify them;</li> <li>(ii) Search and scanning protocol and methods to be used including poor visibility protocols;</li> <li>(iii) Marine mammal behaviours;</li> <li>(iv) Measures to be taken if marine mammals are sighted;</li> <li>(v) Reporting requirements;</li> <li>(vi) Health and safety requirements specific to undertaking the observations.</li> </ul> <p>Te Ātiawa shall be invited to identify appropriate iwi members to be part of the marine mammal observational activities, including being trained as MMOs.</p>	<p>This condition has been included in response to the Panel’s Specific Question 42 in RFI #1 about the conditions proposed to address training of staff and marine mammal observers (see paragraphs [224]-[228]).</p> <p>In response to Specific Question 43 in RFI#1, this condition provides for the opportunity for Te Ātiawa to be part of the marine mammal observation activities (see paragraphs [229]-[230]).</p>
2.9.	<p><b>Flood Overflow Path</b></p> <p>The works shall maintain a fully functional flood overflow path from the Kent Street Tributary down Lagoon Road to the sea, including a flow path width no narrower than the existing flow path.</p>	MDC comment at paragraph [17(b)]: recommendations have been incorporated into this condition.
3.	<b>Management Plans</b>	
3.1.	<p><b>Avian Management Plan</b></p> <p>The Consent Holder must prepare and implement an Avian Management Plan for the purpose of avoiding adverse effects (other than minor or transitory adverse effects) on any threatened or at-risk indigenous bird species from demolition or construction related activities within or near to (within 100 metres) the project sites, including Kororā/little blue penguin, Torea Tai/variable oystercatcher and Caspian tern. The Consent Holder shall ensure the Avian Management Plan:</p> <p>(a) Is prepared in consultation with the Department of Conservation and Te Ātiawa;</p>	Panel’s draft condition provided to the Applicants on the 5/03/21 has been included. Dr Bramley has reviewed the condition and a minor change has been proposed to reflect that the “avoid” policies in

	<p>(b) Is prepared with input from and reviewed by a suitably qualified expert;</p> <p>(c) Includes opportunities for Te Ātiawa to be involved in its implementation and any monitoring required;</p> <p>(d) Is provided to the Compliance Manager, Marlborough District Council for certification in accordance with Conditions PA2.2, PA2.3 and PA2.4 at least one month before any demolition or construction works commence.</p>	<p>the NZCPS requires avoidance of any and all effects other than minor or transitory effects.</p>
3.1.1	<p>The Avian Management Plan shall, at a minimum, include the following:</p> <p>(a) Identification of the locations and number of breeding and nesting sites likely to be affected (informed by the results from the year long bird survey at condition PB2.4);</p> <p>(b) A predator control programme to protect the areas identified in condition PB3.1.1(a);</p> <p>(c) Measures to avoid adverse effects (other than minor or transitory adverse effects) on Caspian tern, torea tai and kororā during demolition and construction related activities;</p> <p>(d) Required training of project staff and/or contractors;</p> <p>(e) A description of the methodology for deterrence and provision of alternative sites, relocation and pest control, where required;</p> <p>(f) A description of the methodology for monitoring the success of any deterrence and provision of alternative sites, relocation and/or pest control activities required by the Avian Management Plan. The methodology shall include actions to be taken should any of these measures not be successful;</p> <p>(g) Provision for pre-commencement site inspections by a suitably qualified expert within two weeks of the commencement of any stage of demolition or construction related activities to determine if Caspian tern, Kororā or Tōrea Tai (or any other identified threatened or at-risk species indigenous species) are resident in the coastal marine area within 100m of the works. The frequency of pre-commencement site inspections (and the need for re-inspections at certain times of year or in the event that works cease for more than two weeks), and need for use of trained and certified seabird detecting dogs, will depend on the timing of the works in relation to breeding season and the risk posed, and must be addressed in the Plan; and</p> <p>(h) Reporting of the records of the site inspections and avoidance and mitigation measures undertaken to Marlborough District Council, Te Ātiawa and the Department of Conservation.</p>	<p>Panel's draft condition provided to the Applicants on the 5/03/21 has been included with minor amendments incorporated as recommended by Dr Bramley.</p> <p>Reference to 100 m at clause (g) aligns with condition PB3.1.</p> <p>Clause (b) included as per RFI#1 at paragraph [96].</p> <p>Forest and Bird's comment at [15]: to address Forest and Bird's concern, replace 'manage' with 'avoid adverse effects (other than minor or transitory adverse effects)'.</p>
3.1.2	<p><b>Kororā</b></p> <p>(a) On the basis of the September-August 2020 bird survey and the year long baseline bird survey recording the locations and number of nesting sites, the Consent Holder shall provide at least two alternative nesting boxes for each nesting site identified as being likely to be affected (i.e. if two identified nesting sites are likely to be affected then four alternative nesting boxes shall be provided). The</p>	<p>Panel's draft condition provided to the Applicants on the 5/03/21 included with minor amendments incorporated as</p>

	<p>location and design of the alternative nesting boxes and predator control required for each site must be determined in consultation with the Department of Conservation and Te Ātiawa. The alternative nesting boxes and predator control must be established prior to the commencement of any works within the coastal marine area.</p> <p>(b) In addition to the measures set out in condition PB3.1.2(a), a suitably qualified expert shall advise whether the proposed construction or demolition works will cause harm to the birds when nesting. If so, the consent holder shall cover the potential nesting habitat in the areas with plastic mesh netting to prevent the birds using the site for breeding.</p> <p>(c) In the event that kororā are found during a pre-commencement site inspection in accordance with condition PB3.1.1(g) to be resident within 15 metres of the affected coastal marine area:</p> <p>(i) a suitably qualified expert, in conjunction with a seabird specialist from the Department of Conservation, must determine whether the relocation of the pair to new nesting sites would be feasible, taking into account the location of the nest and the traits and habits of the species.</p> <p>(d) If the suitably qualified expert and the seabird specialist from the Department of Conservation agree that relocation is feasible, and a permit under the Wildlife Act 1953 is granted for that relocation (should such a permit be required), then the disturbed pair shall be relocated by the expert. The Consent Holder shall meet the reasonable costs of that relocation. Relocation should be to one of the alternative penguin nest boxes provided in safe habitat as close as possible to the original site. If it is determined relocation is not feasible, works must be delayed until the nest is abandoned.</p> <p>(e) Te Ātiawa shall be advised of any relocations.</p> <p>(f) For any pair of kororā that is relocated in terms of condition PB3.1.2(c), the consent holder shall donate \$5,000 to Kaipupu Point Mainland Island Society Inc and Picton Dawn Chorus (split equally) to contribute to enhancing local habitats. This condition is offered by the consent holder on an Augier basis.</p> <p><b>Advice Note:</b></p> <p><i>The provision of at least two alternative nesting boxes outside the project footprint, based on the location and numbers of previous nesting sites identified in the year long bird survey, should avoid the need for relocation.</i></p>	<p>recommended by Dr Bramley.</p> <p>Clause (e) is retained from lodgement version of the conditions as this was agreed with Te Ātiawa.</p> <p>Clause (f) has been added to require a donation to enhance habitat quality and breeding success in the event that nesting birds need to be relocated. This, in conjunction with the other aspects of the Avian Management Plan, will ensure avoidance of effects in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It also responds to Forest and Bird's request to support existing predator control work in the area.</p> <p>Dr Bramley calculated the \$5,000 per pair as follows:</p> <p>\$500 per pair, being a conservative estimate of the cost of establishing pest control over an adequate area to establish a network and protect a pair and provide a nest box and 10 years being the period conservatively estimated as being sufficient to more than replace any birds lost, <math>\\$500 \times 10 = \\$5,000</math>.</p>
3.1.3	<p><b>Caspian tern and Torea Tai</b></p> <p>In the event that a pair of Caspian tern or torea tai is found to be resident in the coastal marine area within 200m of the construction works in accordance with condition PB3.1.1(g) above then:</p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall engage a suitably qualified expert to train at least one representative of the consent holder in:</p>	<p>Panel's draft condition provided to the Applicants on the 5/03/21 has been included with minor amendments incorporated as</p>

	<p>(i) how to sight the identified resident species;</p> <p>(ii) how to recognise the resident species nest prospecting behaviours;</p> <p>(iii) how to recognise when the resident species are preparing to nest or have begun nesting; and</p> <p>(iv) the deterrence methods set out in the Avian Management Plan, including those listed in condition PB3.1.3(b) below.</p> <p>(b) From July until October in each year during which construction is proposed within 200m of the coastal marine area, a representative of the consent holder trained in accordance with condition PB3.1.3(a) shall undertake daily sightings for the resident species within 200m of the proposed construction. Such sightings shall be recorded in a daily log, and any Caspian tern or torea tai sighted shall be deterred from prospecting for nests by methods set out in the Avian Management Plan which may include:</p> <p>(i) Walking a dog on a leash through the area at varying times throughout the day for up to seven days after a sighting to disturb adult Caspian terns or torea tai; and/or</p> <p>(ii) Parking large vehicles close to where Caspian tern or torea tai are showing an interest. The vehicles shall be started and/or moved from time to time throughout the day for seven days after a sighting; and/or</p> <p>(iii) Laying plastic mesh just above the ground in areas chosen for nesting which will discourage the birds from settling; and/or</p> <p>(iv) Tie large colourful ribbons and streamers tied between posts to discourage birds trying to nest.</p> <p>(c) Seven days after a sighting, a representative of the consent holder trained in accordance with condition PB3.1.3(a) shall report to the suitably qualified expert engaged per condition PB3.1.3(a) as to whether he or she considers that the Caspian tern/torea tai has been deterred from nesting, or whether nesting may have begun. If the expert considers, based on the report, that nesting may have begun, then the expert shall visit the site to verify this.</p> <p>(d) If the suitably qualified expert verifies that nesting has begun, steps shall be put in place to determine whether the nesting birds should be allowed to continue nesting, and if this is not practicable, what steps should be taken to avoid any adverse effects on the nesting birds, including fencing off or protection of the nesting site. <i>Note: Removal/destruction of eggs will require a permit from Department of Conservation and should only be used as a last resort if prior efforts to deter birds have failed.</i></p> <p>(e) For any pair of Caspian tern or torea tai that is identified as nesting in terms of condition PB3.1.3(d), the consent holder shall donate \$5,000 to Kaipupu Point Mainland Island Society Inc and Picton Dawn Chorus (split equally) to contribute to enhancing local habitats. This condition is offered by the consent holder on an Augier basis.</p>	<p>recommended by Dr Bramley.</p> <p>Dr Bramley advises that Caspian is capitalized because the Caspian Sea is a place name. Common names for species don't need to be capitalized (i.e. torea tai and kororā).</p> <p>In response to Forest and Bird's comment in relation to NZCPS Policy 11, Dr Bramley advises that this policy relates to effects on species. In this case, habitat is not limited so predator control is appropriate to address the effects.</p> <p>However, should the Panel consider it necessary, clause (e) has been added to require a donation to enhance habitat quality and breeding success in the event that nesting birds are disturbed. This, in conjunction with the other aspects of the Avian Management Plan, will ensure avoidance of effects in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It also responds to the Forest and Bird request at paragraph [19] of its comment to support existing predator control work in the area.</p> <p>See comment above for explanation of how the \$5,000 per pair has been calculated.</p>
--	--	---

	<p><i>Advice notes:</i></p> <p><i>For the purposes of this consent "resident" means birds that are permanently site attached i.e. birds that carry out the majority of their life at the same location and could not realistically be expected to permanently or temporarily abandon their home range as a result of disruption caused by construction activities.</i></p> <p><i>For the purposes of this condition 1.5, a 'suitably qualified expert' means an ecologist with a specialisation in seabirds, a post-graduate university degree and at least 5 years' experience.</i></p>	
3.2.	<p><b>Navigational Safety Plan</b></p> <p>A project-specific Navigational Safety Plan (NSP) shall be prepared in consultation with the Waitohi Picton Harbourmaster. The purpose of the NSP is to manage the maritime risks to all port users associated with the construction of the proposed new berths and jetty at Waitohi Picton Harbour.</p> <p><b>The NSP shall, as a minimum include information addressing the following:</b></p> <p>(a) Managing collision, grounding, contact, wash and mooring risks in accordance with Part 22 of the Maritime Rules, the Marlborough District Council Navigational Bylaw and the Harbourmaster's directions including by defining:</p> <p>(i) Any changes to vessel exclusion and manoeuvring zones surrounding the construction site, construction vessel wash and new infrastructure;</p> <p>(ii) The process for redefining safe navigational channels for all commercial and recreational users during construction at various stages within the Marlborough District Council Navigational Bylaw for the zones in accordance with the Harbourmaster's directions;</p> <p>(iii) The communication process for informing all port users of changes to zones and channels;</p> <p>(iv) Requiring all vessels involved in the construction project display signals in accordance with Maritime Rule Part 22;</p> <p>(v) The process for confirming the suitable aids to navigation required on new infrastructure with Harbourmaster.</p>	<p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p>
3.3.	<p><b>Coastal Marine Area Protection Management Plan</b></p> <p>The consent holder shall prepare a CMAPMP as a specific appendix of the CMP, with input from a coastal processes specialist.</p> <p>The purpose of the CMAPMP is to identify the construction processes and equipment proposed to be used on the project which may cause adverse effects on the coastal marine area, and identify the methods and techniques, and management procedures and protocols including monitoring and reporting, that will be implemented in order to appropriately manage any effects of construction work on the coastal marine area.</p> <p><b>The CMAMP shall, as a minimum, include the use of the following measures to manage the effects of construction activity</b></p>	<p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p> <p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28]</p>

	<p>on marine ecology and water quality and comply with condition PB2.5:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Cleaning of all marine plant, equipment and machinery before use.</li> <li>(b) Regular inspection and cleaning of all marine plant, equipment and machinery to avoid import or dispersal of invasive marine organisms and thus protect biosecurity.</li> <li>(c) Methodologies to ensure the accuracy of dredging to minimise over-dredging;</li> <li>(d) Use of appropriate dredging plant and equipment appropriate for the seabed soil types.</li> <li>(e) Securing of construction materials in the coastal marine area to prevent loss into the marine environment, particularly those materials which are prone to be blown by the wind and/or could cause entanglement of marine mammals or fish.</li> <li>(f) Containment and effective dewatering and filtering of dredged spoil in barges or pumped systems within the CMA.</li> <li>(g) All works that disturb the foreshore and seabed shall be undertaken using best practice protocols to minimise water turbidity.</li> <li>(h) Seashore construction work making best use of low tides.</li> <li>(i) Accuracy and sensitivity for placement of scour protection layers.</li> <li>(j) Use of biodegradable hydraulic oils for all marine plant where practicable.</li> <li>(k) Use of effective and contained refuelling systems and procedures.</li> <li>(l) The adoption of best practice controls to avoid and mitigate potential spills, including having effective and ready emergency spill management processes.</li> </ul>	
3.4.	<p><b>Dredging Management Plan</b></p> <p>A Dredging Management Plan (DMP) shall be prepared to manage the effects of any dredging and contaminated dredged spoil and be in accordance with condition PB2.6.</p> <p>The purpose of the DMP is to identify the construction processes, plant and equipment, and volumes of earthworks materials and substances expected to be handled within the project which have a risk of causing adverse effects on the environment, and identify the methods and techniques, and management procedures and protocols including monitoring and reporting, that will be implemented in order to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects of the construction work on the environment to comply with condition PB2.6.</p> <p><b>The DMP shall, as a minimum:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Set out steps to achieve compliance with condition PB2.6;</li> <li>(b) Include specific mitigation measures to manage the effects of the dredging activity and dredge spoil management and reuse, that must be implemented, which may include but are not necessarily limited to:</li> </ul>	<p>Purpose of DMP added as per RFI #1 at paragraph [26].</p> <p>Linking of standards/limits with management plan conditions as per RFI#1 at paragraph [21].</p> <p>Additional detail included in response to the Panel's request to specify the minimum contents of a management plan as per RFI#1 at paragraph [28].</p> <p>This condition also addresses MDC's comment at</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Verification testing and monitoring of contaminant levels, and discrete and appropriate management of the various range of levels of contaminated dredge spoils;</li> <li>(ii) Effective containment, transfer and handling procedures for all dredge spoil including marine dredging arisings to minimise any spreading or loss of the dredge spoil;</li> <li>(iii) Effective dewatering and filtering systems and procedures of dredge spoil;</li> <li>(iv) Water spraying of stockpiles as required to minimise dust emissions and adverse effects on air quality</li> <li>(c) Detail the methods for testing of dredge spoil to determine if it is contaminated;</li> <li>(d) Detail the methods for containing, testing and discharging dewatering liquids from the dredge spoil.</li> <li>(e) Detail the methodology for the production of cleanfill that contains contaminated dredge spoil and how this will meet the Marlborough District Council's (MDC's) Clean Fill Guideline values (Landcare Research MDC, Cleanfill, 2013) standard at condition PB2.6(b);</li> <li>(f) Detail the methodology for the production of mudcrete and how this will meet the relevant leachability testing in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 1992, Test Method 1311: Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure at condition PB2.6(d);</li> <li>(g) Set out management practices for dredge spoil including quantities, grading and extent of contamination as appropriate</li> <li>(h) Set out management practices for the re-use of dredge spoil on site and / or the disposal of dredged spoil off-site</li> <li>(i) Detail appropriate procedures and methods, and effective management processes including regular inspections, audits, monitoring and reporting.</li> </ul>	<p>paragraph [65] to add the DMP to the condition set and subsequent details contained in the DMP.</p>
--	---	--

	<b>Part C: Application 2B Conditions – Applicant KiwiRail; Rail corridor works (retaining wall); Regional Resource Consent</b>	<b>Origin of Change</b>
1.	<p>The activities authorised by this consent shall be undertaken in general accordance with the information submitted with the application and the following plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WSP Plans entitled: Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited / KiwiRail, Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Civil Concept Design, Application 2B, dated 06.11.2020;</li> <li>• Wraight and Associates Ltd Plans entitled: Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Landscape: Resource Consent, Landscape Package 2B Railway Retaining Wall Planting, dated 13 November 2020.</li> </ul>	<p>Amendments to this condition to provide more certainty as to the relevant information and plans that will prevail in the event of there being inconsistency</p>

	<p>Where any conflict between the documents and these conditions of consent exists, the conditions of consent shall prevail.</p> <p>Where there is inconsistency between the documents listed above, provided by the applicant as part of the application for resource consent, the applicant's responses to the clause 25 of Schedule 6 requests for further information, and information and plans provided through the Response to Comments, the most recent plans and information prevail.</p> <p><i>Advice Note: In this condition "in general accordance with" does not include changes to the scale of works for which an application under section 127 of the RMA would be required. Minor amendments to the engineering and design of the works may be approved upon request to the Compliance Manager from Marlborough District Council providing any amendments demonstrate that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the outcome is not materially different than; and</i></li> <li>• <i>any adverse effects will be no greater than; and</i></li> <li>• <i>no person would be adversely affected beyond what was granted consent.</i></li> </ul>	<p>between documents.</p> <p>Advice note included to address Panel's concern around some of the information provided with the application still in its conceptual phase and yet to be finalised as per RFI #1 at paragraphs [7]-[12].</p>
2.1.	<p><b>Works in Waitohi Awa</b></p> <p>(a) The consent holder shall ensure that, during works in the Waitohi Awa, the flow of water past the works is sufficient to maintain fish passage in accordance with the applicable National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand Fish Passage Guidelines April 2018, unless otherwise agreed with the Marlborough District Council.</p> <p>(b) Prior to works commencing that require access to the bed of the Waitohi Awa, the consent holder shall install a temporary staff (head) gauge at the existing Broadway Bridge abutment to monitor water levels in the Waitohi Awa.</p> <p>(c) The consent holder shall agree a specified cut-off water flow level with the Marlborough District Council prior to any construction works occurring in the Waitohi Awa.</p> <p>(d) Access to the bed of the Waitohi Awa for construction works shall only occur when levels are at or below the specified cut-off level agreed with Marlborough District Council in condition PC2.1(c).</p> <p>(e) The consent holder shall ensure that the finished works do not materially reduce the capacity of Waitohi Awa to carry flood waters. This shall be demonstrated when plans are provided to Council in terms of condition PA1.2.</p>	<p>As noted in RFI #1 at paragraph [148], the Atmospheric Research New Zealand Fish Passage Guidelines April 2018 has been referred to in clause (a).</p> <p>In response to the Panel's Specific Question 22 of RFI #1, the Applicants' have included clauses (b)-(d) to provide more clarity on suitable flow for undertaking construction work (see paragraph [154] of RFI#1 response).</p> <p>MDC comment at paragraph [15]: clause (e) has been included to address MDC's concern in ensuring final construction details are submitted to MDC prior to construction to confirm flood</p>

		capacity is not materially reduced.
2.2.	If construction works within Waitohi Awa are scheduled to take place between 1 September and 31 December of any year, then:  (a) Prior to such works commencing, a suitably qualified person shall undertake a site inspection to determine if there are any indigenous birds nesting within the riverbed within 50m of the construction works; and  (b) If nesting is found under condition PC2.2(a), a suitably qualified person shall confirm that nesting is no longer occurring before works commence.	No changes
2.3.	Final design of the section of the retaining wall that is constructed of concrete panels shall be developed in consultation with Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui Trust. Such consultation shall occur prior to final plans being provided to Marlborough District Council in accordance with condition PA1.2.	No change.

<b>Part D: Application 2C Applicant: Marlborough District Council; Regional Consent for Dublin Street Bridge and Land use Consent for access arrangement changes</b>		<b>Origin of Change</b>
1.	<p>The activities authorised by this consent shall be undertaken in general accordance with the information submitted with the application and the following plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WSP Plans entitled: Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited / KiwiRail, Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Civil Concept Design, Application 2C, dated 06.11.2020;</li> <li>Wraight and Associates Ltd Plans entitled: Waitohi Picton Ferry Precinct Redevelopment, Landscape: Resource Consent, Landscape Package 2C Dublin St, dated 23 October 2020.</li> </ul> <p>Where any conflict between the documents and these conditions of consent exists, the conditions of consent shall prevail.</p> <p>Where there is inconsistency between the documents listed above, provided by the applicant as part of the application for resource consent, the applicant's responses to the clause 25 of Schedule 6 requests for further information, and information and plans provided through the Response to Comments, the most recent plans and information prevail.</p> <p><i>Advice Note: In this condition "in general accordance with" does not include changes to the scale of works for which an application under section 127 of the RMA would be required. Minor amendments to the engineering and design of the works may be approved upon request to the Compliance Manager – Marlborough District Council, providing any amendments demonstrate that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>the outcome is not materially different than; and</i></li> <li><i>any adverse effects will be no greater than; and</i></li> <li><i>no person would be adversely affected beyond what was granted consent.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Amendments to this condition to provide more certainty as to the relevant information and plans that will prevail in the event of there being inconsistency between documents.</p> <p>Advice note included to address Panel's concern around some of the information provided with the application still in its conceptual phase and yet to be finalised as per RFI #1 at paragraphs [7]-[12].</p>

2.1.	<p><b>Detailed Design of the Dublin Street Overbridge</b></p> <p>At least 20 working days prior to construction works commencing, the consent holder shall provide the Compliance Manager – Marlborough District Council, for the Council's records, with:</p> <p>(a) The architectural design details for the exterior structure of the Dublin Street overbridge and the pedestrian walkway under the Bridge which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) appropriately manage the adverse visual effects of the bridge structure on the residents of units at 49 Auckland Street;</li> <li>(ii) incorporate characteristics and qualities that are consistent with the overbridge's setting in Waitohi Picton, and with the character and values of the Waitohi Awa and its cultural significance to Te Ātiawa;</li> <li>(iii) in relation to the balustrade and safety barrier design of the Dublin Street Overbridge, reflect the smaller urban setting for the bridge (where this is possible while still achieving safety requirements);</li> <li>(iv) in relation to the walkway under the Bridge, include a mural or imprinting on the concrete designed in consultation with Te Ātiawa; and</li> <li>(v) in relation to the walkway under the Bridge, incorporate Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles into the safety and access measures; and</li> </ul> <p>(b) Evidence that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The design process in condition PD2.1(a) has been undertaken in consultation with Te Ātiawa;</li> <li>(ii) Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency's Bridging the Gap Design Guide has been used as a basis for the design process in condition PD2.1(a); and</li> <li>(iii) The consent holder has consulted with Marlborough Roads and Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency on the detailed design of the overbridge.</li> <li>(iv) The consent holder has consulted with the Rivers Section of Marlborough District Council on the detailed design of the overbridge in relation to effects on Waitohi Awa.</li> </ul>	<p>No change.</p> <p>MDC comment at [68]: The Applicants' landscaping suggestions do not need to be detailed in the condition set as landscaping was addressed in the outline plan of works (OPW) 1B. For more detail, please see the Applicants' response to RFI #4.</p>
2.2.	<p><b>Access Standards</b></p> <p>(a) A minimum height clearance of 3.0 m shall be provided under the Dublin Street overbridge for vehicle access to the Council pumping station.</p> <p>(b) A minimum 3.5 metre wide concrete vehicle crossing shall be constructed from the kerb to the boundary of 24 Dublin Street, 45 Auckland Street and 61-65 Auckland Street in accordance with Council's Code of Practice for Subdivision and Land Development dated June 2008. If the new entranceway is not directly adjacent to an existing entranceway, there must be at least a separation distance of 7.5 metres between the centreline of the new vehicle crossing and the centreline of the successive vehicle crossing. Prior to the commencement of works, the consent holder must provide Council with confirmation obtained</p>	<p>MDC comment at paragraph [32]: this condition incorporates MDC's suggested condition in regard to property access.</p> <p>The Applicants' note that clause (c) provides a 5.0m wide crossing as the 6.0m width MDC sought is not achievable. For</p>

	<p>from Council's Roading Authority that the location, size, and construction details meet the required standards.</p> <p>(c) A minimum 5.0 metre wide heavy duty concrete vehicle crossing shall be constructed from the kerb to the boundary of 49 Auckland Street in accordance with Council's Code of Practice for Subdivision and Land Development dated June 2008. If the new entranceway is not directly adjacent to an existing entranceway, there must be at least a separation distance of 7.5 metres between the centreline of the new vehicle crossing and the centreline of the successive vehicle crossing. Prior to the commencement of works, the consent holder must provide Council with confirmation obtained from Council's Roading Authority that the location, size, and construction details meet the required standards.</p> <p>(d) The consent holder shall be responsible for undertaking any boundary changes required to provide the property access requirements for 49 and 61-65 Auckland Street. The consent holder must provide Council with confirmation obtained from Council's Roading Authority that the access provisions meet the required standards.</p> <p>(e) Property access must be maintained to 24 Dublin Street after the completion of the Dublin overbridge. The consent holder will be responsible for providing a suitable right of way over 26 Dublin Street and/or 1 Market Street. The consent holder must provide Council with confirmation obtained from Council's Roading Authority that the access provisions meet the required standards.</p>	<p>more detail, please see the Applicants' response to RFI #4.</p>
--	---	--