

**From:** Diana [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 17 February 2021 2:09 pm  
**To:** queenstownarterialsfasttrack  
**Cc:** 'Ken Fox (Australia)'  
**Subject:** [REDACTED]

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

## Comment on the Queenstown Arterials Project Fast-track Application and Notice of Requirement

All sections of this form with an asterisk (\*) are mandatory.

### 1. Contact Details

Please ensure that you have authority to comment on the application on behalf of those named on this form.

<b>Organisation name (if relevant)</b>	N/A		
<b>*First name</b>	Bruce		
<b>*Last name</b>	Fox		
<b>Postal address</b>	[REDACTED]		
<b>*Home phone / Mobile phone</b>	[REDACTED]	<b>*Work phone</b>	N/A
<b>*Email (a valid email address enables us to communicate efficiently with you)</b>	[REDACTED]		

### 2. \*We will email you draft conditions of consent for your comment about this application

√	I can receive emails and my email address is correct		I cannot receive emails and my postal address is correct
---	--	--	--

### 3. Please provide your comments on the Queenstown Arterials Project Application

If you need more space, please attach additional pages. Please include your name, page numbers and [insert project name] Application on the additional pages

1. Currently Man St and the other streets included offer significant carpark that supports the CBD area. As part of this project what carparking is being included with this project design to offset the loss of a huge number of carparks, or to off set any lose carparking to the area. Isle Street is already blocked bas with many other surrounding streets that support CBD workers

and visitors to the CBD area. Please tell me the Council is not again just ignoring these issues.

2. What noise mitigation, traffic calming and pedestrian services have been included with this project development to offset turning a quiet residential street into a major arterial road and ensure this does not become a high speed environment.
3. I find it unbelievable that local residents and landowner are not contacted directly, and this project openly discussed with the real affected people at the beginning of the project development. Only clubs and organisations were contacted? While no one will disagree that this work is required and largely this is likely the only real solution to local traffic issues, the Council needs to address how it involved and engages with stakeholders. No one has talked with the people that have real project involvement, own the affected properties, live in the affected area, yet again this is a major fail!! The Social Impact report is a complete joke, low impact during construction, low project feedback - you have asked the questions. This report offer not real social impact analysis. This report is more about telling the client what they want to hear rather than addressing the real issues. Talk direct to the residents.
4. Real consideration needs to be given how local resident and area users will be engaged with to mitigate the construction phase of the project delivery, realising some users will not be able to cross construction work zones, what alternative access will be provided during this phase.
5. How will the upgrading of the exist underground services in this area also be included in this project's delivery? I would hate to see the standard local Govt project management repeated where constructed new pavements are immediately dug up because outdated or damaged fragile infrastructure is not replaced at the same time.
6. We are happy to receive further information and support this project in address and supporting the develop of this project